

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,529	02/20/2004	Bernard Hammond JR.	65725-0042	7483
23552 7	590 09/16/2005		EXAM	INER
MERCHANT	% GOULD PC		PAUMEN,	GARY F
P.O. BOX 290.	3 IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WIII WEEK OF	10, MIT 00 102 0900		2833	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		C	4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>} </del>
	10/783,529	HAMMOND ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary F. Paumen	2833	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt is will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 /	August 2005.		
,	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	·	-	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 50 and 54-62 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) _ is/are withdrawn f 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 50 and 54-62 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	rom consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ of the drawing (s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing.	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/05,8/31/05.</li> </ol>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 50 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim 5685740 in view of Sangree et al 6807068.

Lim substantially discloses the claimed invention, including termination cap 26,28 having conductive coatings and a cable notch 28b-3, the cap configured to fit about a jack. Lim, however, does not disclose the cap as including carbon filled material.

Sangree et al discloses an EMI shield 50 made of plastic loaded with stainless steel fibers or carbon fibers. It thus would have been obvious to form the termination cap of Lim in this way, for economy of manufacture.

Claims 55-58 and 60-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim in view of Sangree et al as applied to claims 50 and 54 above, and further in view of Arnett et al 6746283.

Lim as modified by Sangree et al substantially discloses the claimed invention except for the insulation displacement contacts being in two columns. Arnett et al discloses two columns of insulation displacement contacts, and to arrange the contacts of Lim in this way thus would have been obvious, to facilitate wire termination. It would have been obvious to use the assembly of Lim with an unshielded cable if shielding of the cable were deemed unnecessary in a particular situation.

Art Unit: 2833

Claim 59 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim in view of Sangree et al and Arnett et al as applied to claim 55 above, and further in view of Roselle et al 4820196.

Lim as modified by Sangree et al and Arnett et al substantially discloses the claimed invention except for a non-conductive insulator coating. Roselle et al discloses insulator coating 11, and to provide the cap with such a coating thus would have been obvious, to protect the cap.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 50 and 54-62 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2833

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary F. Paumen whose telephone number is 571-272-2013. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800, ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gfp

Gary Paumen
Primary Examiner